

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/678,328	10/03/2000	Yasuo Takane	0905-0247P-SP	4817	
. 7:	590 11/02/2004	EXAMINER			
BIRCH, STEV P.O.Box 747	WART, KOLASCH &	AGGARWAL	AGGARWAL, YOGESH K		
Falls Church, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2615		

DATE MAILED: 11/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/678,32	28	TAKANE ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Yogesh K	Aggarwal	2615				
	The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on the	cover sheet with the o	correspondence ac	dress			
Period fo	• •	00 DEDI V 10 OET T	O EVENE A MONTH	(O) EDOM				
THE   - Externation of the control o	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI INSIGNS of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) to period for reply is specified above, the maximum stare to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months are dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evolunication. 0) days, a reply within the state atutory period will apply and wiwill, by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tir utory minimum of thirty (30) day ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered time the mailing date of this c ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	.ly. communication.			
Status		•						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 14 June 2004.		·*				
·	•	2b)□ This action is n	on-final.					
3)□								
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 2-9,11 and 12 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1 and 10 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
10) $\boxtimes$ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 October 2000</u> is/are: a) $\boxtimes$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to			-				
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority  2. Certified copies of the priority  3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	documents have bee documents have bee of the priority documental donal Bureau (PCT Rul	en received. en received in Applicat ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	l Stage			
Attachmer	, ,				4			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	OTO 048)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or er No(s)/Mail Date			Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/678,328

Art Unit: 2615

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ejima et al. (US Patent # 5,070,405) in view of Anderson (US Patent # 6, 011,585).

## [Claim 1]

Ejima et al. teaches a digital camera (col. 5 line 43, figure 3) comprising a photometry device (figure 3, element 21) for performing photometry for each of the sections obtained by dividing an imaging area into a plurality of sections to output photometry values (col. 5 lines 61-67, col. 6 lines 1-2), an imaging device (figure 3, element 3) for imaging a subject to output image data representing an image of the subject (col. 6 lines 32-41), an exposure control device (figure 3, element 10 for controlling an amount of exposure in said imaging device on the basis of the photometry values outputted by said photometry device (col. 6 lines 8-23).

Ejima teaches a recording medium 9 for recording the image data and a CPU10 for recording the output of photometry element 21 but fails to teach an image file create device for creating an image file containing the image data outputted from said imaging device and data representing the photometry values for each of the sections outputted from said photometry device, the image file device creating the image file for each of imaging by said imaging device and a recording control device for recording the image file created by said image file create device on a recording medium. However Anderson teaches a recording medium (figure 4c, element 417) having an

Application/Control Number: 09/678,328

Art Unit: 2615

image data recording field (figure 4c, element 419) for recording image data and a miscellaneous data field (figure 4c, element 425) for recording exposure values in an image file wherein the combination of 419 and 425 are read as an image file. Therefore taking the combined teachings of Ejima and Anderson it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to have been motivated to have used the teachings of Anderson to store an image data and exposure values obtained by dividing the photometry sensor into different sections as taught by Ejima in an image file in order to store both the image data and exposure values in an image file. The benefit of doing so would be to have less hardware, as one memory can be used for saving both the image as well as the photometry values leading to a reduction in overall cost of the digital camera.

[Claim 10]

This is a method claim corresponding to the apparatus claim 1. Therefore, claim 10 is analyzed and rejected as previously discussed with respect to claim 1.

## Conclusion

- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- i. Konishi (US Patent # 5,420,635) discloses a photometric sensor 27 which can be divided into different regions to calculate the average photometry values for each of the small areas (col. 17 lines 11-21).
- 4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/678,328

Art Unit: 2615

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yogesh K Aggarwal whose telephone number is (703) 305-0346. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00AM-5:30PM.

- 5. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Christensen can be reached on (703) 308-9644. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.
- 6. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

YKA

10/27/04

TUAN HO
PRIMARY EXAMINER